

## Exploring potential middle school scheduling configurations

## Purpose

To engage the Chappaqua Board of Education in exploring the value of potential middle school scheduling configurations

## Format of the October Knowledge Cafés

Presentation and clarifying questions (1 hour)

Table discussion (50 minutes)

- Wrap up (10 minutes)


## The Knowledge Café Process

- A time to discuss
- A time to learn other's perspectives
- A time to suspend judgment - Not a time for decisions
- Instructional need
- Longer periods for teaching and learning
- Fewer transitions for more "sense of belonging"
Why Now?
- Fiscal need for more efficiency
- Declining state aid and 2\% property tax cap
- Declining enrollment

Instructional Need for Longer Periods (Balanced Instruction)

Teacher directly explains



Teacher models/
 demonstrates

Teacher guides



Students work independently with feedback

## Fiscal Need:

 more efficiency as enrollment declines|  |  |  |  |  | P R O J E C T E D |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | $\begin{gathered} 2008- \\ 2009 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2009- \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010- \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2011- \\ & 2012 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2012- \\ 2013 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2013- \\ 2014 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014- \\ 2015 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2015- \\ 2016 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2016- \\ 2017 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2017 \\ 2018 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| K | 274 | 292 | 260 | 260 | 284 | 287 | 286 | 283 | 280 | 279 |
| 1 | 329 | 281 | 293 | 270 | 266 | 290 | 295 | 293 | 290 | 287 |
| 2 | 301 | 334 | 293 | 294 | 276 | 272 | 295 | 301 | 298 | 295 |
| 3 | 340 | 305 | 337 | 298 | 298 | 279 | 276 | 299 | 305 | 302 |
| 4 | 326 | 342 | 312 | 341 | 302 | 303 | 283 | 280 | 303 | 309 |
| 5 | 325 | 330 | 347 | 311 | 344 | 304 | 304 | 285 | 281 | 304 |
| 6 | 361 | 328 | 338 | 355 | 317 | 351 | 310 | 310 | 291 | 287 |
| 7 | 312 | 359 | 326 | 339 | 354 | 316 | 351 | 310 | 310 | 290 |
| 8 | 312 | 312 | 357 | 329 | 339 | 355 | 317 | 352 | 311 | 311 |
| 9 | 324 | 311 | 310 | 353 | 327 | 337 | 351 | 315 | 349 | 308 |
| 10 | 306 | 321 | 310 | 306 | 350 | 324 | 333 | 348 | 312 | 346 |
| 11 | 334 | 296 | 319 | 308 | 301 | 347 | 321 | 329 | 345 | 309 |
| 12 | 368 | 335 | 298 | 319 | 309 | 302 | 348 | 322 | 330 | 346 |
| Total | 4212 | 4146 | 4100 | 4083 | 4066 | 4066 | 4070 | 4025 | 4004 | 3973 |
| Elementary | 1570 | 1554 | 1495 | 1463 | 1425 | 1431 | 1434 | 1455 | 1476 | 1473 |
| Middle | 1310 | 1329 | 1368 | 1334 | 1354 | 1326 | 1283 | 1256 | 1192 | 1193 |
| High | 1332 | 1263 | 1237 | 1286 | 1287 | 1310 | 1353 | 1314 | 1336 | 1308 |
| Total | 4212 | 4146 | 4100 | 4083 | 4066 | 4066 | 4070 | 4025 | 4004 | 3973 |

Out of District Placement

Total
42524183
4134
4121
4104
41044108
4063
4042
38

These projections do not include new housing developments, such as Chappaqua Crossing.

## Fiscal Need:

 more efficiency as enrollment declines|  |  |  |  |  | P R O J E C T E D |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Level | $\begin{gathered} 2008- \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2009- \\ 2010 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010- \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2011- \\ 2012 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2012- \\ 2013 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2013- \\ 2014 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2014- \\ 2015 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2015- \\ 2016 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2016- \\ 2017 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2017- \\ 2018 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| Elementary | 1570 | 1554 | 1495 | 1463 | 1425 | 1431 | 1434 | 1455 | 1476 | 1473 |
| Middle | 1310 | 1329 | 1368 | 1334 | 1354 | 1326 | 1283 | 1256 | 1192 | 1193 |
| High | 1332 | 1263 | 1237 | 1286 | 1287 | 1310 | 1353 | 1314 | 1336 | 1308 |
| Total | 4212 | 4146 | 4100 | 4083 | 4066 | 4066 | 4070 | 4025 | 4004 | 3973 |

Out of District

| Placement | 40 | 37 | 34 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 | 38 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Total | 4252 | 4183 | 4134 | 4121 | 4104 | 4104 | 4108 | 4063 | 4042 | 4011 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

These projections do not include new housing developments, such as Chappaqua Crossing.

## Challenging Question

- How can we offer a strong, rich middle school program in the context of diminishing state aid and a 2\% property tax cap?


## Process to date

- 2007-2010
$\checkmark$ Reviewed values and looked at schedules
- January 2011 - present
$\checkmark$ Created 16 models with Michael Rettig and middle school administrators
$\checkmark$ Met with middle school faculty
$\checkmark$ Updated capacity study
October 2011 - November 2011
$\checkmark$ Met with larger school community to discuss four models
$\checkmark$ Discuss at BOE meeting on November 15


## What We Value

- Breadth of education
- More time
- Opportunities for strong teacher-student relationships
- Teams
- Inclusion
- Strong arts, physical education, health, FACS, and technology programs
- Ability to schedule in blocks to allow for a variety of instructional approaches
- Ability for teams to schedule flexibly
- Common planning and parent conference time
- Advisory


## Four Models to Consider

1. Current 9-period schedule with
eight 40 m periods and 40m lunch in
two 5-8 schools
2. 7-period schedule with
six 55 m periods and 38 m lunch in
two 5-8 schools
3. Current 9-period schedule with eight 40 m periods and 40 m lunch in
one $5 / 6$ school \& one 7/8 school
4. 7-period schedule with
six 55 m periods and 38 m lunch in
one $5 / 6$ school \& one $7 / 8$ school

# Models 1 and 2: <br> Eight 40-minute periods and a 40-minute lunch 

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HR | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Period } 1 \\ 40 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Period } 2 \\ 40 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Period } 3 \\ 40 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Period } 4 \\ 40 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Period } 5 \\ 40 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Period } 6 \\ 40 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Period } 7 \\ 40 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Period } 8 \\ 43 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Period } 9 \\ 40 \end{array}$ |
| Grade 5 | нR | Core 40 | Core 40 | Encore 40 | Core 40 | Core 40 | Lunch 40 | Encore 40 | Encore 40 |  <br> L. Lab 40 |
| Grade 6 | нR | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { Acad. Ext. } \\ \hline \& \text { Lit. } 40 \end{array}$ | Encore 40 | Core 40 | Core 40 | Encore 40 | Encore $40$ | Lunch 40 | Core 40 | Core 40 |
| Grade 7 | нR | Core 40 | Core 40 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Encore } \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Encore } \\ & 40 \end{aligned}$ | Lunch 40 | Core 40 | Core 40 | Encore 40 | Acad. Ext. \& Lit. 40 |
| Grade 8 | нR | Encore 40 | Core 40 | Core 40 | Lunch 40 | Encore 40 | Core 40 | Core 40 | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Acad. Ext. } \\ \hline \text { \& Lit. } 40 \end{array}$ | Encore 40 |

Model 1 - Program Impact:
(current 9-period day in two 5-8 schools)

- Benefits
$\checkmark$ Broad, rich program
$\checkmark$ Pure teams with flexibility to manipulate schedule
$\checkmark$ Music and PE meet every other day
$\checkmark$ World language meets every day
$\checkmark$ Rotation classes meet every day for a quarter
$\checkmark$ 40-minute lunch
- Limitations

Short periods instructionally limiting
$\checkmark 9$ transitions per day
$\checkmark$ Difficult to meet program requirements in some core classes

Model 2 - Program Impact:
(current 9-period day in a 5/6 and 7/8 school)

- Benefits
$\checkmark$ Same as existing schedule (Model 1) $\checkmark$ All students transition together 5-12
- Limitations
$\checkmark$ Same as existing schedule (Model 1)
$\checkmark$ Sense of school community more challenging to develop
$\checkmark$ Another transition between schools
$\checkmark$ Longer bus rides


# Models 3 and 4: <br> Six 55-minute periods and a 38-minute lunch 



## Instructional Time and Frequency for Students

|  | Current 40-Minute Classes |  | $55-$ Minute Classes |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Meetings <br> per Year | Length | Total <br> Instructional <br> Minutes | Meetings <br> per Year | Length | Instructional <br> Minutes | +/- Instructional <br> Hours |
| English | 180 | 40 | 7200 | 180 | 55 | 9900 | +45.00 |
| Math | 180 | 40 | 7200 | 180 | 55 | 9900 | +45.00 |
| Social Studies | 180 | 40 | 7200 | 180 | 55 | 9900 | +45.00 |
| Science | 180 | 40 | 7200 | 180 | 55 | 9900 | +45.00 |
| Academic Ext. | 90 | 40 | 3600 |  | Integrated |  |  |
| Literacy Per. | 90 | 40 | 3600 |  | Integrated |  |  |
| World Lang. | 180 | 40 | 7200 | 120 | 55 | 6600 | -10.00 |
| PE | 90 | 40 | 3600 | 60 | 55 | 3300 | -5.00 |
| B/O/Ch/GM | 90 | 40 | 3600 | 60 | 55 | 3300 | -5.00 |
| Tech. 5 | 45 | 40 | 1800 | 30 | 55 | 1650 | -2.50 |
| Tech. Gr. 6-8 | 45 | 40 | 1800 | 30 | 55 | 1650 | -2.50 |
| FACS Gr. 6-8 | 45 | 40 | 1800 | 30 | 55 | 1650 | -2.50 |
| Life Skills 5 | 45 | 40 | 1800 | 30 | 55 | 1650 | -2.50 |
| Health Gr. 7-8 | 45 | 40 | 1800 | 30 | 55 | 1650 | -2.50 |
| Art 5 | 45 | 40 | 1800 | 30 | 55 | 1650 | -2.50 |
| Art 6-8 | 45 | 40 | 1800 | 30 | 55 | 1650 | -2.50 |
| GM 6 For All | 45 | 40 | 1800 | 30 | 55 | 1650 | -2.50 |

Model 3 - Program Impact:
(Six 55-minute periods with a 38-minute lunch)

- Benefits
$\checkmark$ Broad, rich program
$\checkmark$ More instructional time in core subjects
$\checkmark$ Longer periods allowing more balanced instruction, differentiation, and interdisciplinary work
$\checkmark$ Pure teams with flexibility to manipulate schedule
$\checkmark$ Longer periods facilitate in-class support services
$\checkmark$ Fewer transitions
- Limitations
$\checkmark$ Although the total number of hours remains similar to current totals, PE, performing arts, special areas, and world languages meet less frequently
$\checkmark$ Because the academic extension period is integrated, rotational scheduling for services is more challenging

Model 4 - Program Impact: ( 55 -minute model in a $5 / 6$ and a $7 / 8$ school)

- Benefits

Same as 55-minute schedule in 5-8 school (Model 3)
All students transition together 5-12

- Limitations

Same as 55-minute schedule in 5-8 school (Model 3)
$\checkmark$ Sense of school community is more challenging to develop
$\checkmark$ Another transition between schools
$\checkmark$ Longer bus rides

In summary...

| Scheduling Models | Program Impact (Major Points) | Est. <br> Staffing | Est. Busing | Est. <br> Savings |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Model 1 (Current) <br> 9-period day with eight 40 m periods and 40 m lunch in two 5-8 schools | $\checkmark$ Broad, rich program <br> $\checkmark$ Short periods limiting <br> $\checkmark \quad$ PE, performing arts, special areas, and world language meet frequently | -- | Same as now | 0 |
| Model 2 <br> 9-period day with eight 40 m periods and 40 m lunch in one $5 / 6$ school and one $7 / 8$ school | $\checkmark$ Broad, rich program <br> $\checkmark$ Short periods limiting <br> $\checkmark$ PE, performing arts, special areas, and world language meet frequently <br> $\checkmark$ Sense of community more challenging to develop <br> $\checkmark$ Longer bus rides | Reduction of 2 to 3 positions | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 200,000 \\ & \text { increase } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 0- \\ & \$ 100,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| Model 3 <br> 7-period day with six 55 m periods and 38 m lunch in two 5-8 schools | $\checkmark$ Broad, rich program <br> $\checkmark$ Longer periods allow for more core instruction, differentiation in all subjects, and interdisciplinary work <br> $\checkmark$ Although number of hours remain similar, PE, performing arts, special areas, and world language meet less frequently | Reduction of 5 to 6 positions | Same as now | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 500,000 \\ & - \\ & \$ 600,000 \end{aligned}$ |
| Model 4 <br> 7-period day with six 55 m periods and 38 m lunch in one $5 / 6$ school and one 7/8 school | $\checkmark$ Broad, rich program <br> $\checkmark$ Longer periods allow for more core instruction, differentiation in all subjects, and interdisciplinary work <br> $\checkmark$ Although number of hours remain similar, PE, performing arts, special areas, and world language meet less frequently <br> $\checkmark$ Sense of community more challenging to develop <br> $\checkmark$ Longer bus rides | Reduction of 7 to 8 positions | $\$ 200,000$ <br> increase | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 500,000 \\ & - \\ & \$ 600,000 \end{aligned}$ |

## Knowledge Café Feedback

- Keeping grades 5-8 in one school is best
- Dividing schools into a 5-6 and 7-8 configuration keeps children transitioning together, which is good
- Longer periods are more effective, especially for differentiation, skill development, and hands-on learning
- Students need free time
- Teaming is important
- Daily academic classes are important
- World language should meet daily
- Longer lunch (>30 minutes) is important. Students need downtime
- Time for reading is critical in middle school


## Knowledge Café Feedback (continued...)

- More time to teach writing is needed
- Transportation across town is a concern. Longer bus rides would be difficult
- Breadth of programs is important
- Keeping a music program that includes opportunities for lessons is important
- We want students to go beyond the content-less teaching to the test
- It is important to have middle school students in an environment where they are nurtured for four years. It is important to ensure the social/emotional comfort of students
- Balanced instruction is necessary
- We value fewer transitions
- Can a child attend for 56 minutes?
- How can we ensure that encore classes are not diminished because of reduced frequency?
- How will special education and AIS students be serviced?
- How does the longer period work for inclusion?
- Does flexible blocking take care of longer periods?
- Can encore subjects be incorporated into core classes?
- Would blocking for 112 minutes be too long?
- How will busing concerns impact the decision?
- What is the impact of students not having world language daily?
- How will students and teachers be supported in adjusting to longer periods?


